# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/694,661	10/27/2003		Donald S. Stern	GRA003-2D US	4238	
34036	7590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER			
SILICON VA	SILICON VALLEY PATENT GROUP LLP			SMITH, BRADLEY		
2350 MISSIO	N COLL	EGE BOULEV	'ARD	ART UNIT		
SUITE 360	SUITE 360 SANTA CLARA CA 95054				PAPER NUMBER	
SANTA CLAI					7	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/ /					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/694,661	STERN, DONALD S.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Bradley K Smith	2824					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	•						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 31-35 and 52 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 31-35 and 52 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 October 2003</u> is/are:							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
Proceed Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/27/03.		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/694,661 Page 2

Art Unit: 2824

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. 121 based upon a previously filed application, specific reference to the earlier filed application must be made in the instant application. For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of the applications. This should appear as the first sentence of the specification following the title, preferably as a separate paragraph unless it appears in an application data sheet. The status of nonprovisional parent application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "now Patent No. \_\_\_\_\_\_" should follow the filing date of the parent application. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "now abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application.

#### Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kunikiyo (US Patent 6,380,578). Kunikiyo disclose a cup-shaped element (6)defining an interior volume and a core(18a) located in the interior volume and separated from but surrounded by a first wall of the cup-shaped element, one end of the core facing a second wall of the cup- shaped element, wherein said second wall is transverse to and connected to said first wall (see figure 1). With respect to claim 32, Kunikiyo disclose an electrode (14a) separated from each of the core and the cup-shaped element, the electrode facing another end of the core (see figure 1). With respect to claim 33, Kunikiyo disclose the electrode and the cup shaped element substantially enclose the core (see figure 1). With respect to claim 34, Kunikiyo disclose a semiconductor

Art Unit: 2824

material between the core and the electrode(34) (see figure 28). With respect to claim 35, Kunikiyo disclose a dielectric (12 and 13) between the core and the cup-shaped element (figure 1).

5. Claims 31,32 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Van Buskirk et al. (US Patent 6,346,741). Van Buskirk et al. disclose a cup-shaped element (30) defining an interior volume, and a core (34) located in the interior volume and separated from but surrounded by a first wall of the cup-shaped element (30), one end of the core facing a second wall of the cup- shaped element, wherein said second wall is transverse to and connected to said first wall (see figure 1h). With respect to claim 32, Van Buskirk et al. disclose an electrode (44) separated from each of the core and the cup-shaped element, the electrode facing another end of the core (see figure 1h). With respect to claim 52, Van Buskirk et al. disclose a ferroelectric material (32) (see column 14 lines 10 and 11).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley K Smith whose telephone number is (571) 272-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-6 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on (571) 272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2824

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**Brad Smith** 

**Patent Examiner**